Relationship Between Structure and Glass Transition Temperature in Low-silica Calcium Aluminosilicate Glasses: the Origin of the Anomaly at Low Silica Content
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The anomalous behavior of the glass transition temperature ($T_g$) in low silica calcium aluminosilicate glasses has been related to the structural modifications observed by neutron and X-ray diffraction. The diffraction data indicate that Al and Si are in tetrahedral sites and that Ca atoms are in distorted octahedral sites. By subtracting the correlation functions for glasses at constant SiO$_2$ or constant Al$_2$O$_3$ content, we have shown that Si and Al atoms are introduced in a different way within the glass structure. Si is present in various $Q^n$ sites, while Al resides in $Q^3$ and $Q^4$ sites for glasses with high CaO content and enters fully polymerized $Q^4$ sites with increasing SiO$_2$ or Al$_2$O$_3$ content. The higher proportion of Al in $Q^3$ positions at high CaO content yields a depolymerization of the network. The lower connectivity will contribute to a decrease of the viscosity, which may be at the origin of the decrease of $T_g$ for glasses at low silica content.

I. Introduction

Low silica glasses may be synthesized in the CaO–Al$_2$O$_3$–SiO$_2$ system, a unique property among silicate glasses. In a narrow range of composition (70–60 mol% CaO), the calcium aluminate binary glasses can be produced by conventional melt quenching techniques. The addition of a small amount of SiO$_2$ can be produced by conventional melt quenching techniques. The diffraction data indicate that Al and Si are in tetrahedral sites and that Ca atoms are in distorted octahedral sites. By subtracting the correlation functions for glasses at constant SiO$_2$ or constant Al$_2$O$_3$ content, we have shown that Si and Al atoms are introduced in a different way within the glass structure. Si is present in various $Q^n$ sites, while Al resides in $Q^3$ and $Q^4$ sites for glasses with high CaO content and enters fully polymerized $Q^4$ sites with increasing SiO$_2$ or Al$_2$O$_3$ content. The higher proportion of Al in $Q^3$ positions at high CaO content yields a depolymerization of the network. The lower connectivity will contribute to a decrease of the viscosity, which may be at the origin of the decrease of $T_g$ for glasses at low silica content.

(a) The so-called "$T_g$ model" suggests that, at low silica content, non-bridging oxygens (NBOs) are preferentially located on the SiO$_4$ tetrahedra. The dominant aluminate network contains less NBOs and is thus more connected, which increases $T_g$. With further addition of silica, SiO$_4$ tetrahedra become part of the aluminate network and the NBOs are randomly distributed between Si and Al.

(b) Another model proposes that the addition of SiO$_2$ to Ca-aluminate glasses tends to increase $T_g$ due to greater average polymerization and larger average bond strength. With further increase in the SiO$_2$ content, the decrease in $T_g$ results from an increasing free volume that arises from structural changes similar to those existing between crystalline aluminates and gelolithic structures.

(c) The presence of excess oxygen has been reported with the observation of superoxide radicals by electron paramagnetic resonance on unirradiated samples. These O$_2^-$ ions indicate that peroxy linkages (–O–O–), peroxide ions coordinated to Ca$^{2+}$ ions or dissolved molecular oxygen, O$_2$, could be present in significant amounts, and it was proposed that their presence affects the network polymerization and thus $T_g$.

Structural studies of these glasses have mainly focused on the aluminosilicate network using $^29$Si and $^{27}$Al MAS–NMR, X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), Raman and infrared reflectance spectroscopies, and X-ray and neutron diffraction. All these investigations reveal the presence of a tetrahedral aluminosilicate network in which the charge compensation for the negatively charged (AlO$_4$)$_n$ tetrahedra is provided by Ca$^{2+}$ ions. For glasses with $R = CaO/Al_2O_3 > 1$, Ca atoms present in excess for charge compensation have a modifying role with the formation of NBOs. Neutron diffraction measurements were recently carried out on calcium aluminosilicate glasses, where the local environment around Ca was shown to be distorted and close to an octahedral site. However, to our knowledge, there are no extensive diffraction studies on glasses containing low silica content.

This paper reports neutron and X-ray diffraction measurements on low-silica calcium aluminosilicate glasses. The glass transition temperature of these glasses was derived from viscosity measurement. The coupling of the two diffraction techniques provides insight into the environment at the local and medium range around all the constituting elements and information on the medium range structure of the aluminosilicate network. By taking the difference between the correlation functions for glasses at constant SiO$_2$ or constant Al$_2$O$_3$ content, we show the possibility to obtain additional structural information on the respective role of Al and Si in the polymerization of the aluminosilicate network and its evolution with the addition of SiO$_2$. In CaO-rich glasses, Al resides in depolymerized units, which are incorporated into more polymerized units with...
CaO ternary system were selected along the joins $R = \text{CaO}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 = 1, 1.57$ and 3.

The addition of SiO$_2$ or Al$_2$O$_3$, while SiO$_4$ tetrahedra are preferentially localized in a distribution of depolymerized environments. These structural results allow the establishment of a relationship between the glass structure and the glass transition temperature.

II. Experimental Procedure

Calcium aluminosilicate glasses belonging to the SiO$_2$–Al$_2$O$_3$–CaO ternary system were selected along the joins $R = \text{CaO}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 = 1, 1.57, 3$ with silica content between 0 and 76 mol% (Fig. 1). Samples were prepared from reagent grade CaCO$_3$, SiO$_2$ (mol%) Al$_2$O$_3$ (mol%) CaO (mol%) $R = \text{CaO}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, and (1 – $x – y$) the molar percentage of CaO.

This process was repeated four times to ensure glass homogeneity. With this procedure the quench rate is estimated to be ~20°C/s. No thermal annealing of the glasses was carried out. The samples have a slightly yellow coloration due to small dissolution of Pt$^{4+}$. It was recently shown that the solubility of Pt decreases with the addition of silica.$^{23}$ The composition of the samples are listed in Table I. We use the notation $\text{Ca}_x\text{y}$, where $x$ and $y$ refer to the molar percent of SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$, respectively, and $1 – x – y$ is the CaO molar content.

The $T_g$s were determined by viscosity measurements performed with a creep apparatus and a rotatory viscometer that give values between 1 and $10^{14}$ Pa.$^{22,24}$ The $T_g$ values, plotted in Fig. 2, correspond to $10^{13}$ Pa on a relaxed viscosity curve. The glass transition temperature is a dynamic phenomenon that does not only depend on the chemical composition but also on the speed of heating/cooling rate. However, for each temperature of measurement, the viscosity was obtained on relaxed liquids and thus the $T_g$ values are independent of the thermal history of the glass. A second set of $T_g$ was taken from Higby et al.$^{3}$ who determined the glass transition temperatures from DTA measurements. It is well known that there is a difference of a few degrees between the glass transition temperature obtained from viscosity measurement and from DTA. Some glasses were studied by these two ways, and the difference corresponded to 20°C. We subtract 20°C at the glass transition temperature determined from DTA to have compatible data sets in Fig. 1.

Wide angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) experiments were performed on a diffractometer equipped with MoK$_\alpha$ radiation and a bent graphite monochromator (Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands, PW1729). The intensity measurements were carried out by the 0/20 step scanning method and in the angular range of $0.5^\circ < 2\theta < 140^\circ$, which corresponds to a $Q$-range $0.8 < Q < 16.6$ Å$^{-1}$ ($Q = 4\pi\sin\theta/\lambda$ is the magnitude of the scattering vector, 20 is the scattering angle, and $\lambda$ is the radiation wavelength). The total counts accumulated at each measured point were not less than 10,000. The X-ray source was operated at a current of 35 mA and accelerating voltage of 50 kV. Standard treatment was carried out to correct and normalize the experimental diffraction data and to obtain the total structure factor, $S(Q)$.$^{25}$

Neutral total diffraction experiments were carried out on the SANDALS diffractometer at the ISIS spallation neutron source (Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, U.K.). A wide range of scattering vectors (0.2 Å$^{-1} < Q < 50$ Å$^{-1}$) can be obtained. The samples were crushed and loaded in a thin-walled vanadium container. Diffracted intensities were recorded at room temperature for the samples in the container, the empty container, and a vanadium rod used for absolute normalization. The data were corrected for background and container scattering, absorption, multiple scattering, and inelasticity effects using the ATLAS suite of programs.$^{26}$
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**Table I.** Nominal Glass Composition of the Glasses in the SiO$_2$–Al$_2$O$_3$–CaO Ternary System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample$^1$</th>
<th>SiO$_2$ (mol%)</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$ (mol%)</th>
<th>CaO (mol%)</th>
<th>$R = \text{CaO}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca10.23</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>67.50</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca16.21</td>
<td>16.57</td>
<td>20.86</td>
<td>62.57</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca23.21</td>
<td>23.50</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>55.50</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca32.17</td>
<td>31.91</td>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>51.07</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca33.18</td>
<td>33.18</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>49.13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca38.15</td>
<td>37.93</td>
<td>15.51</td>
<td>45.56</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca42.14</td>
<td>42.86</td>
<td>14.28</td>
<td>42.86</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca50.12</td>
<td>51.43</td>
<td>12.14</td>
<td>36.43</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca60.10</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca68.08</td>
<td>68.30</td>
<td>8.71</td>
<td>22.97</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca0.39</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>61.00</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca10.35</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca20.31</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>49.00</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca35.27</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca39.22</td>
<td>39.25</td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>38.50</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca55.18</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca12.44</td>
<td>11.82</td>
<td>44.10</td>
<td>44.10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca19.40</td>
<td>18.99</td>
<td>40.51</td>
<td>40.51</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca29.36</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca33.33</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca50.25</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca63.18</td>
<td>63.30</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca70.15</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>15.50</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca76.11</td>
<td>76.50</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$x, y with $x$ the molar percentage of SiO$_2$, $y$ the molar percentage of Al$_2$O$_3$, and $1 – x – y$ the molar percentage of CaO.
The neutron and X-ray structure factors were Fourier transformed to give the total correlation functions, $G(r)$.

$$G(r) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{Q_{\text{max}}} Q[S(Q) - 1] \exp(-Q^2) \sin(Qr) dQ$$

$$G(r) = 4\pi r \rho_0(g(r) - 1)$$

where $g(r)$ describes the local density fluctuations around unity and $\rho_0$ is the average number density. A modification function, $\exp(-\xi Q^2)$, is used to down-weight the high-angle data, with a damping factor $\xi = 0.005$.

The total correlation function is a weighted sum of the partial pair distribution functions (PPDFs). In multicomponent systems, the structural information that can be extracted from the data is limited by the overlapping of the various PPDFs. For example, in the aluminosilicate glasses, the Al–T and Al–O contributions have maxima near those of the Si–T and Si–O PPDFs, with T = Si or Al. The determination of each of these partial pair distribution functions is required to obtain information on the polymerization of the glass network. By taking the difference between the correlation functions for glasses at constant SiO$_2$ or Al$_2$O$_3$ content, it is possible to subtract some contributions and, thus, to obtain more structural information from the data. We make the reasonable hypotheses (i) that, at constant SiO$_2$ content, the addition of about 10 mol% Al$_2$O$_3$ has little influence on the local geometry of the SiO$_4$ tetrahedra and (ii) that modifications at longer distances will be negligible. In consequence, there are few changes for the Si–O and Si–Si PPDFs for glasses with the same SiO$_2$ content. The difference taken between the correlation functions should thus eliminate most of the Si–O and Si–Si contributions and allows a more precise determination of the Al–T and Al–O contributions, though no quantitative analysis can be considered in these differential correlation functions.

III. Results

(1) Glass Transition Temperatures

Figure 2 shows the glass transition temperatures obtained from viscosity measurements ($\log \eta = 13$ P) as a function of SiO$_2$ content for the three joins SiO$_2$–R, with $R = \text{CaO}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ taken between 1 and 3. For all joins, we observe an important decrease in the glass transition temperatures with decreasing SiO$_2$ content from 76 to 30 mol%, which results from the depolymerization of in the glass transition temperatures with decreasing SiO$_2$ content. The explanation for this behavior will be given below in relation to the structural modifications.

(2) Total Diffraction Functions

The total X-ray and neutron structure factors, $S(Q)$, are shown in Fig. 3. The first peak in the neutron data (Fig. 3(a)) is shifted from $2.14 \pm 0.01$ Å$^{-1}$ to $2.06 \pm 0.01$ Å$^{-1}$ as the SiO$_2$ content increases from 0 up to 20% and the width remains almost constant. In the X-ray data (Fig. 3(b)), a first peak appears between $2.08 \pm 0.02$ Å$^{-1}$ (for glasses with $R = 1$) and $2.24 \pm 0.02$ Å$^{-1}$ (for glasses with $R = 3$).

The neutron correlation functions, $G(r)$, are presented in Fig. 4(a) and Gaussian fits up to 3.2 Å are shown in Fig. 4(b). Structural oscillations are clearly visible up to about 15 Å, which indicate an important degree of medium range order in these glasses. The first peak corresponds to the Al–O contribution in the Ca$_{10.31}$ (Table II). The Al–O contribution in Ca$_{10.31}$ was fitted using one Gaussian function while a second Gaussian contribution was added to fit the Si–O pair in the other two glasses. The fitting parameters are listed in Table II. With the addition of CaO, the Ca–O contribution near 2.4 Å is enhanced. This peak is partially overlapped by the O–O contribution near 2.8 Å, which is shifted to lower r-values and broadened with the addition of SiO$_2$. Indeed, the O–O bond lengths in SiO$_4$ tetrahedra are about 0.2 Å shorter, typically, than those in AlO$_4$ tetrahedra (Table II).

Structural modifications can be observed between 3 and 5 Å (Fig. 5), in the X-ray correlation function, though they have less defined structures at high r-values and a lower resolution at low r-values, due to the limited Q-range accessible in our data (0.8 Å$^{-1}$ < $Q$ < 15 Å$^{-1}$). The weights of the different pairs differ in X-ray and neutron diffraction data and, thereby, X-ray diffraction data give complementary information on the structure. In particular, the pairs involving Ca and Si/Al are more heavily weighted in the X-ray data compared to the neutron ones where the pairs involving O dominate the signal. This explains why the
Ca–O pair is more apparent in the X-ray data though, again, an exact determination of its area is difficult due to the proximity of the O–O contribution. Upon the addition of CaO, contributions near 3.4 and 3.8 Å increase in intensity and may be assigned to Ca–Ca and Ca–T pairs, where T stands for Si or Al. For glasses with low CaO content, the T–T pair near 3.15 Å and the T–second nearest oxygen (T–O2) pair at about 4.4 Å are clearly visible.

Table II. Gaussian Fit Parameters for the Various Pairs Up to 3 Å

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Si–O</th>
<th>Al–O</th>
<th>Ca–O</th>
<th>(SiO4)</th>
<th>(AlO4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CaO.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r_i (Å)</td>
<td>1.765</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.885</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_i</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ_i(Å)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca10.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r_i (Å)</td>
<td>1.620</td>
<td>1.762</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_i</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ_i(Å)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca20.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r_i (Å)</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_i</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ_i(Å)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Gaussian Fit Parameters for the Various Pairs Up to 3 Å

- r_i: Interatomic distance.
- N_i: Coordination number.
- σ_i: Standard deviation.

Figure 6 shows the neutron correlation difference functions taken between Ca10.35 and Ca0.39 (bottom, plain curve) and Ca20.31 and Ca0.39 (bottom, dashed curve). With the addition of silica, the contributions due to the SiO4 tetrahedra are visible at 1.6 Å (Si–O pairs) and 2.6 Å (O–O pairs). Second nearest neighbor silicon–oxygen distances, Si–O2, can be seen at 4.2 Å.

Fig. 6. Neutron correlation function for the Ca0.39, Ca10.35, and Ca20.31 samples (three top solid curves) and neutron difference correlation functions (two bottom curves) between the Ca0.39 and Ca10.35 samples (plain curve) and the Ca0.39 and Ca20.31 samples (dashed curve). Some curves are displaced vertically for clarity.
A positive feature at 4.8 Å can be assigned to O–O2 and Si–T2 pairs that correspond to the second nearest neighbor O–O and Si–(Si/Al), respectively.\(^\text{17,27}\) The Si–O2 pair at 4.2 Å is present even at low silica content since this contribution appears for the Ca10.35 glass and increases in the same proportion between the Ca10.35 and Ca20.31 glasses. This contribution is characteristic of Si atoms linked with an oxygen belonging to another tetrahedra and it is thus the trademark of Si atoms linked with another tetrahedra, either SiO\(_4\) or AlO\(_4\). The decrease in the Ca–O pair is due to the lesser content of CaO as silica is added.

X-ray correlation difference functions were calculated for compositions with similar contents of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) (Fig. 7) and SiO\(_2\) (Fig. 8). By making the reasonable hypothesis that there are few changes for the Al–O and Al–Al pairs between glasses at constant Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) content, the difference functions should eliminate most of the Al–O and Al–Al PPDFs. Therefore, in the X-ray difference functions, the peak near 3 Å can be assigned to Si–T correlations only (the Al–Al signal is removed by the subtraction of the \(G(r)\) functions). The feature at 4.2 Å can be attributed to Si–O2 pairs, since the Al–O2 contribution near 4.2 Å is cancelled during the subtraction. Similarly, Figure 8 shows the X-ray difference functions obtained at constant SiO\(_2\) content (10 and 20 mol%). In these difference functions, the Si–O and Si–Si PPDFs are removed and the peaks at 3.1 and 4.4 Å can be attributed to Al–T and Al–O2 pairs, respectively.

With the addition of \(\sim 10\) mol\% Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), the Al–T contributions near 3.1 Å (Fig. 8, lower curves) are markedly more important that the Si–T contributions near 3.1 Å when \(\sim 10\) mol\% SiO\(_2\) is added (Figs. 7(a) and (b), lower curves).

With the addition of CaO, two peaks appear at about 3.4 and 3.7 Å for the difference functions at constant \(R\) while a unique contribution is visible at 3.7 Å for the difference function at constant SiO\(_2\) content. The Ca-Ca and Ca–T pairs correspond to the peaks at 3.4 and 3.7 Å, respectively.

**IV. Discussion**

(1) Local Environments in Calcium Aluminosilicate Glasses

The Gaussian fits of the neutron correlation functions (Table II) are consistent with the presence of SiO\(_4\) tetrahedra. The Gauss-
ian parameters for the Al–O pair indicate that Al occurs mainly in tetrahedral sites in all compositions. In the neutron correlation function, the O–O peak is shifted from 2.88 Å in the Ca0.39 glass to 2.77 Å in the Ca20.31 glass. This shift is due to the shorter O–O distances in SiO4 than in AlO4 tetrahedra (2.65 and 2.88 Å, respectively). The occurrence of Al in 4-fold coordination is in agreement with previous Raman and MAS-NMR studies,9,11,12,16 with a mean Al–O bond length of 1.76 Å.

The occurrence of Al in 4-fold coordination was observed in the CaSiO3 glass,31 where neutron diffraction data show a non-Gaussian distribution of the Ca–O distances. This was in agreement with a previous X-ray diffraction study of an anorthite glass (CaAl2Si2O8), which is in agreement with a reorganization of the Ca atoms, which act, for these compositions, both as charge compensator near AIO4 tetrahedra and as network modifier associated with the NBOs of the Q4 units. However, our data do not allow an estimate of the number of Ca or T nearest neighbors around a Ca atom.

Several authors have reported the presence of O2− superoxide in the calcium aluminosilicate containing low silica content.5–8 From the diffraction data, no signature due to such species can be observed. This could mainly be observed in the O–O peak near 2.6 Å. In the neutron data, which emphasize the O–O correlation pair, the variation of the O–O peak corresponds to the variation of the edges of the AIO4 and SiO4 tetrahedra during the Si/Al substitution and it seems difficult to deconvolute these contributions a distance characteristic of the peroxy linkages. Furthermore, a Molecular Dynamics study on the glasses with low silica content indicates the presence of ~1% of oxygen present in O2− form in the CaO.39 glass,23 with a proportion decreasing as the silica content increases in agreement with experimental findings. The small content of O2− in the simulated models suggests that the proportion of peroxy linkages should be very small in the glass and thereby undetectable with the diffraction methods.

(3) Introduction of Si and Al in the Network

The neutron difference functions (Fig. 6, lower curves) show a gradual increase in the Si–O2 contribution at 4.2±0.02 Å. This Si–O2 pair is related to the linkage of a SiO4 tetrahedra with SiO2 or AIO4 tetrahedra. Such a linkage indicates that silicon is part of the aluminate network even at low silica content. The X-ray difference functions (Figs. 7, lower curves) confirm that Si is part of the polymerized network at low silica content, with the presence of a peak at 3.1 Å due to Si–T pairs. The Si–O2 contribution at 4.2 Å is also discernible in spite of the lower quality of the X-ray data compared to the neutron ones. The lack of significant structural modifications with the addition of silica indicates that Si atoms do not play a major role in the observed thermodynamic anomaly. This result confirms a 29Si NMR study that shows the surrounding of the Si nuclei does not change rapidly at low silica content in contrast to large variations at high silica content.10

Based on their composition, glasses along the join R = 1 are expected to have a fully connected network, with all T atoms in Q4 sites (where the superscript is the number of bridging oxygens, BOs, per tetrahedral cation).21 The X-ray difference functions for the Ca19.40 and Ca12.44 glasses (Fig. 7c, lower plain curve) show very small structural oscillations compared to differences taken along the join R = 1.57 and 3 for similar SiO2 content. This indicates that the addition of silica in the glasses along the join R = 1 does not produce important modification within the glass structure. This lack of modification is consistent with a random Si to Al substitution in Q4 sites.

The difference functions, at constant SiO2 or Al2O3 content, allow the separation of the Si–T and Al–T contributions. Contrary to the weak Si–T contribution, the Al–T contribution is characterized by a well-defined peak. This result indicates that Al enters the glass structure in tetrahedral sites with a large number of T neighbors and a narrow distribution of these neighbors. These criteria are fulfilled if Al preferentially enters Q4 sites as silica is added to aluminate compositions. In the difference functions at constant Al2O3 content (Fig. 7), the weak Si–T contributions can be explained by Si atoms entering the glass framework in various Q4 sites (smaller number of T neighbors and larger distribution of T neighbors compared to Al). Previous 29Si and 27Al MAS-NMR studies indicate that Al resides mostly in Q4 sites in aluminosilicate glasses and is increasingly found in Q5 sites in more lime compositions,9,15 which is in agreement with our X-ray diffraction data. However, these studies were unable to resolve quantitatively the Q5 peaks due to high-field strength cations, the increased number of next nearest neighbor configurations and the quadrupolar interactions in the case of Al.9,16 A recent Al K-edge X-ray absorption spec-
trosopic study also supports the present observation of a Q' species of Al in Al–O coordination.

The presence of Al in Q' and Q4 sites in aluminate glasses is confirmed in the 12CaO·7Al2O3·(Ca37) glass that has a structure closer to the sheet framework of the 5CaO·3Al2O3 crystal than to the three-dimensional framework of the 12CaO·7Al2O3 crystal. Similarly, NMR, Raman, and infrared-reflectance spectroscopies have shown that Al is increasingly found in Q' sites as CaO content increases. Hence, glass compositions with high CaO and low SiO2 content do favor sheet structures.

(4) Glass Transition Temperature and Structure

The glass transition is strongly associated with melts properties such as viscosity and shear modulus. The Tg value corresponds to a temperature region where the structure of the liquid is frozen on an experimental time scale. At higher temperature, there is a continual structural rearrangement through breaking and reforming of T–O bonds. This relaxation process is associated with viscous flow in silica melts and has been attributed to the exchange between Q' species, with the involvement of a five-coordinated transient silicon site. In this mechanism, an NBO combines with a SiO4 tetrahedra to form short-lived SiO3 units. Therefore, the number of NBOs or the network polymerization may play an important role in the flow of melts. This effect is apparent in Fig. 2, where the fully compensated glasses along the join R = 1 have the highest Tg values while the most depolymerized glasses along the join R = 3 have the lowest Tg values. Therefore, the knowledge of the proportion of Q' species in aluminosilicate melts is an important parameter for better understanding the chemical dependence of the macroscopic flow. The structural information gained by the diffraction data may help in linking the glass structure to the variations of the glass transition temperatures (Tg). For glasses along the join R = 1 and with SiO2 content above 12 mol%, no maximum in Tg is observed as silica is added contrary to the other joins (Fig. 2). This is in agreement with a random substitution of Al atoms by Si atoms in Q' sites. In such a replacement, the concentration of the network is not altered and we do not observe extrema in the Tg at low silica content. From the pure calcium aluminate network (CaAl2O4), there is only a continuous decrease in the Tg for the adding of silica until 30 mol% SiO2. The introduction of SiO2 enhances the structural constraints on the network due to the Si/Al disorder and the requirement to preserve a fully polymerized network. The decrease in Tg can thus be simply related to the mixing of the network formers. Indeed, Al–O bonds are weaker than Si–O bonds and more likely to be broken during the O exchange, which is proposed to be responsible for the macroscopic flow.

At low silica content, the network is mainly based on the AlO4 tetrahedra, with dispersed SiO4 units. With increasing content of CaO (joins R = 1.57, 3), the structure of glasses containing low silica content becomes more sheet like with an increasing number of Al in Q' positions. The connectivity of the network decreases and thermal energy is required for movements at high temperature. This explains why the melts are less viscous, which implies that the Tg values are lower for these glasses than those along the join R = 1. This effect becomes more important as the CaO content increases, suggesting that the network becomes even more depolymerized for the CaO-rich glasses (join R = 3). With the addition of silica, Si is preferentially localized in various depolymerized Q' species and, conversely, the number of Al in Q' sites increases. The aluminate network thus becomes more connected, which increases Tg. After the maximum observed in the Tg values, the distribution of Si and Al over Q' species is more homogeneous and Si contributes to the polymerization of the network. Therefore, the simple Si/Al mixing may again explain the decrease in Tg above 10–20 mol% SiO2.

The structure of the glasses corresponds to a frozen structure of the liquid state depending on the quenching rate. A previous study on calcium aluminate glasses has shown that the structural differences due to different quenching rates do not affect the aluminate polymerization state. A rearrangement of the Ca atoms surrounding the Al site was instead proposed. With the present diffraction data, it is not possible to determine quantitatively the Ca–Al distribution. We can note that the lack of polymerization change for glasses prepared with different quenching rates indicates that the diffraction results obtained on the glass polymerization are characteristics of the liquid state. Glasses with low silica content are known to correspond to fragile liquids and, thus, configurational changes above Tg are expected to occur. In calcium aluminate glasses, high-temperature Raman spectroscopy have shown only vibrational (anharmonic) changes, while NMR data have indicated the presence of AlO3 species at very high temperature. This highly coordinated Al species may play a role in oxygen diffusion and the viscous flow process in the liquids. Diffraction measurements at high temperature should give more complement information on the configurational changes above Tg.

V. Conclusions

The glass transition temperature presents a striking maximum at low silica content ( < 20 mol%), in calcium aluminosilicate glasses for the joins R = CaO/Al2O3 = 1.57 and 3 contrary to the join R = 1. X-ray and neutron diffraction techniques have been used to study the structure of these glasses. The diffraction data indicate that the network is based on SiO4 and AlO4 tetrahedra and that Ca atoms are in octahedral sites providing charge compensation near Al. With the addition of silica, there are continuous structural modifications and, in particular, we do not evidence of abrupt structural changes for the glass having the highest Tg. The variations in properties of these glasses with the addition of silica are not due to changes in the environment around Si atoms. We have shown that Si and Al atoms are introduced in a different way into the glass structure. Al is usually found in fully polymerized Q' sites, even in depolymerized aluminosilicate glasses. However, for glasses with high CaO content and low SiO2 content, Al resides in Q' as well as Q4 sites, while Si is distributed over several depolymerized Q' positions. The proportion of Al in Q' positions increases with CaO, which implies a rapid decrease in the viscosity and in the glass transition temperature for low silica glasses.
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